ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09147 06/21/12 Date Received: Date Extracted: 06/26/12 Date Analyzed: 06/26/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor PO M09147, F&BI 206285

206285-01 x10 206285-01 x10.043 ICPMS1

Instrument: Operator: AP

Lower

Upper

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 101

Limit: 60

Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 461 Nickel 372 Copper 534 Zinc 69.0

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Method Blank

Not Applicable 06/26/12 06/26/12 Water

Matrix: Units:

ug/L (ppb)

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor PO M09147, F&BI 206285

Project: Lab ID:

I2-416 mb I2-416 mb.038

ICPMS1

Data File: Instrument:

Operator: AP

 \mathbf{Lower}

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 99

Limit: 60

UpperLimit:

125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper<1 $\mathbf{Z}inc$ <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/28/12 Date Received: 06/21/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor PO M09147, F&BI 206285

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 206351-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	90	91	71-130	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.18	89	90	71-120	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.99	83	82	52-134	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	17.1	89 b	88 b	51-142	1 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	80-119
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	83-119
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	81-120
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	82-120

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${f jl}$ The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

2	06285	5		SA	MPLE CHA	JN OF C	ust	'OD'	Y	M	E o	061	/ بد	12			· AT
	Send Report To GERALD THOMPSON Company ALASKAN Comper Works Address 628 S. HANGOD ST City, State, ZIP SEATTLE WA 98/34 Phone # 206-54-6033 Fax # 206-382-4309				PROJECT NAMENO. PO# METRO SELF MOVITOR MO914 REMARKS						TURNAROUND TIME						
	Γ		-		ANALYSES REQUESTED												
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	VOCs by 8260			S				h	Votes
2 N	M09147	01	Guln.	1:00gm	HZO	1		-			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					ō.	V. 12
	•																
-																	
	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		IGŅATUR	Œ		PRINT	NAM	E			7)MPA	02		DAT		TIME
5	Constitution of the control of the c			- Gerson A. Thompson AC Kurt Johnson F#						CU BB				. 1	1:58 A		
	Cax (206) 283-5044 RMS\COC\COC.BOC	Received by:								3	San	ples	rece	ived's	at 23.		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

June 28, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 21, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor PO M09147, F&BI 206285 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0628R.DOC